

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/314,518	05/19/1999	WAYNE D. GROVER	T-L-CASE-4	5305
7	590 12/31/2002			
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS PC 2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO, MI 490081699			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THIEN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

91

	_	<u></u>				
7	Application No.	Applicant(s) /				
	09/314,518	GROVER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thien D Tran	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the second of the s	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19</u>	May 1999 .					
·—	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pr						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/314,518

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 11-16, 18-22, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being participate by Ellinas et al (U.S Patent No 6,331,905 B1).

Regarding claims 11, 29, 30, Ellinas discloses a telecommunication network comprising:

plural interconnected routers; and

at least one protecting router comprising a router table, the router table having an entry identifying an alternative route around an adjacent router to the protecting router in case of failure of the adjacent router. See col.11 lines 5-25, and figures 13-15.

Application/Control Number: 09/314,518

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 20, Ellinas discloses a method of protecting against router failure in a network, in which the network includes plural interconnected routers, the method comprising the step of:

storing at a protecting router an entry identifying a cycle of routers that form at least one alternative route around an adjacent router to the protected router, in which the cycle of routers includes all routers directly connected to the adjacent router and not the adjacent router. See col.10 lines 40-60.

Regarding claim 12, Ellinas discloses that a router table has an entry identifying a port associated with the alternative route. See figure 14

Regarding claims 13, 21, Ellinas discloses that the alternative route includes a cycle of routers directly connected to the adjacent router and there is associated with each router in the cycle of routers a routing table with an entry identifying the cycle of routers. See col.7 lines 35-45.

Regarding claims 14, 26, 27, Ellinas discloses the protecting router, comprising a router table, the router table having an entry identifying a cycle of routers directly connected to an adjacent router to the protecting router, the cycle of routers not including the adjacent router. See figure 11, col.17 lines 10-25.

Regarding claims 15, 28, Ellinas discloses that the router table has an entry identifying a port associated with the cycle of routers. See figure 14.

Regarding claims 16, 25, 24, Ellinas discloses that the protecting router has a router table in which is stored, for each adjacent router to the protecting router, an entry identifying a cycle of routers directly connected to the adjacent router to the protecting

Art Unit: 2665

router, each cycle of routers not including the respective adjacent router. See col.15 lines 30-50.

Regarding claims 18, 19, 22, Ellinas discloses a number of routing paths in a group cycle (path cost field). See figure 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellinas et al (U.S Patent No 6,331,905 B1).

Regarding claim 17, Ellinas discloses a data packet for a network of routers, data packet comprising: a restoration network specifies a cycle of routers in which the routers in the cycle are all adjacent a router not in the cycle and a data message (data field).

See col.11 lines 60-65 and col.18 lines 30-50.

Ellinas does not disclose that the data message or packet comprising an ID field specifies a cycle of router. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include such a field in a packet header so that a reception router can check and verify the content of the packet header with the lookup table for routing the packet to the proper destination.

Page 5

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4388. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (703) 308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thien Tran

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alpin s. vso